

## NATS/COMPETITION SURVEY

- 1      Require a minimum of 10 contestants for an official event at the NATS.  
      \_\_\_ Agree    \_\_\_Disagree
  
- 2      Allow non-contestants to fly during the AMA NATS when no events are being  
flown.  
      \_\_\_ Agree    \_\_\_Disagree
  
- 3      Work toward the AMA NATIONALS being a break-even event, paying for itself.  
      \_\_\_ Agree    \_\_\_Disagree
  
- 4      Would you like to see the Pattern NATS move around the country, away from  
Muncie, every other year?  
      \_\_\_ Yes      \_\_\_No
  
- 5      If you marked YES to #4 above, would you be willing to pay as much as double  
for the entry fee in order to fund moving the NATS to another venue?  
      \_\_\_ Yes      \_\_\_No
  
- 6      Are you happy with the NATS being an OPEN event, or would you be in favor of  
some type of qualification requirement in order to shorten the NATS and give the NATS  
more prestige?  
      \_\_\_Leave as is      \_\_\_Look into a qualification system
  
- 7      Would you be in favor of an annual "Competition Stamp" (approximately \$20)  
that would be require to compete in any sanctions rule-book event? The funds generated  
by this will help competitors "pay their own way" and not be subsidized by "sport fliers".  
We would then be able to improve the NATS site further to fit our specific needs, as well  
as fund contest board meetings, special mailings, etc. as well as other shortfalls within the  
competition department.  
      \_\_\_in favor of Stamp      \_\_\_Oppose stamp

Any additional comments:

Thanks for your input...

Tony Stillman

AMA District V Vice-President

Chairman, AMA NATS Review Committee